arXiv:math/0309068v1 [math.DG] 4 Sep 2003

GENERALIZING THE LOCALIZATION FORMULA IN EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY

ANDRÉS PEDROZA AND LORING W. TU

Abstract. We give two generalizations of the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization theorem for the equivariant cohomology of a torus action: 1) replacing the torus action by a compact connected Lie group action, 2) replacing the manifold having a torus action by an equivariant map. This provides a systematic method for calculating the Gysin homomorphism in ordinary cohomology of an equivariant map. As an example, we recover a formula of Akyildiz-Carrell for the Gysin homomorphism of flag manifolds.

Suppose M is a compact oriented manifold on which a torus T acts. The Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization formula calculates the integral of an equivariant cohomology class on M in terms of an integral over the fixed point set M^T. This formula has found many applications, for example, in analysis, topology, symplectic geometry, and algebraic geometry (see [2], [10], [12], [16]). Similar, but not entirely analogous, formulas exist in K-theory ([3]), cobordism theory ([14]), and algebraic geometry ([11]). In this article we consider the problem of generalizing the localization formula in equivariant cohomology in two different directions:

1) replacing the torus action by a compact connected Lie group action,
2) replacing the T-manifold M by a T-equivariant map $f : M \longrightarrow N$.

To begin, we give an example to show why there does not exist a localization formula for a compact connected Lie group G in terms of the fixed point set of the group. Taking cues from the work of Atiyah and Segal in K-theory [3], we state and prove a localization formula for a compact connected Lie group in terms of the fixed point set of a conjugacy class in the group. This is followed by a localization formula for a T-equivariant map. Both formulas generalize the ABBV localization formula. As an application, both of our formulas can be used to calculate the Gysin homomorphism in ordinary cohomology of an equivariant map. For a compact connected Lie group G with maximal torus T and a closed subgroup H containing T, we work out as an example the Gysin homomorphism of the canonical projection $f : G/T \longrightarrow G/H$, a formula first obtained by Akyildiz and Carrell [1].

The application to the Gysin map in this article complements that of [16]. The previous article [16] shows how to use the ABBV localization formula to calculate the Gysin map of a fiber bundle. This article shows how to use the relative localization formula to calculate the Gysin map of an equivariant map.

We thank Alberto Arabia, Fulton Gonzalez, Fabien Morel, and Michèle Vergne for many helpful discussions.

Date: February 1, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 55N25, 57S15; Secondary: 14M15.

Key words and phrases. Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization formula, push-forward, Gysin map, equivariant cohomology, group action.

The second author acknowledges the hospitality and support of the Institut Henri Poincaré the Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Paris.

1. A naïve counterexample

Consider a compact connected Lie group G acting on a manifold M with fixed point set M^G. Let $EG \longrightarrow BG$ denote the universal principal G-bundle, with BG being the classifying space of G. If $\pi : M \longrightarrow \mathrm{pt}$ is the constant map, then $\pi_{G*} : H_G^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(BG)$ is equivariant integration over M. A naïve generalization of the ABBV localization formula would express the integral $\pi_{G*}a$ of any class $a \in H_G^*(M)$ as an integral of some class over the fixed point set M^G. The following example shows that in this generality no such formula is possible.

Let G be a compact connected Lie group with maximal torus T, and H a proper closed subgroup containing T. The group G acts on the homogeneous space $M = G/H$ by left multiplication. This action has no fixed points. Hence any integral over the fixed point set $(G/H)^G$, which is the empty set, is zero.

On the other hand, the equivariant integration $\pi_{G*} : H_G^*(G/H) \longrightarrow H^*(BG)$ is definitely not the zero map. Let $n = \dim G/H$ and let $h : G/H \longrightarrow (G/H)_G$ be the inclusion as a fiber of $\pi_G : (G/H)_G \longrightarrow BG$, where $(G/H)_G$ is the homotopy quotient of G/H. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} G/H \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & (G/H)_G \arrow[d, "\pi_G"] \\ \mathrm{pt} \arrow[r] & BG \end{tikzcd}$$

induces by the push-pull formula the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^n(G/H) \arrow[d, "\pi_*"'] & H_G^n(G/H) \arrow[l, "h^*"'] \arrow[d, "(\pi_G)_*"] \\ \mathbb{Q} = H^0(\mathrm{pt}) & H^0(BG) = \mathbb{Q}. \arrow[l] \end{tikzcd}$$

Let

$$R := H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_\ell].$$

The Weyl group W_G of G acts on R (see [15], Section 2). Hence, the Weyl group W_H also acts on R. Since

$$H_G^*(G/H) = H^*(BH) = R^{W_H} \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(G/H) = R^{W_H}/(R_+^{W_G}),$$

the restriction $h^* : H_G^n(G/H) \longrightarrow H^n(G/H)$ is surjective. If v is a nonzero class in $H^n(G/H)$, let $\tilde{v} \in H_G^n(G/H)$ be such that $h^*\tilde{v} = v$. Then

$$0 \neq \pi_* v = \pi_* h^* \tilde{v} = (\pi_G)_* \tilde{v}.$$

Denote by $(\pi^G)_* : H_G^*(M^G) \longrightarrow H^*(BG)$ the equivariant integration over the fixed point set M^G. For this example no formula of the form

$$(\pi_G)_* a = (\pi^G)_*(\quad), \qquad a \in H_G^*(M),$$

is possible, since the left-hand side is nonzero for certain choices of a, but the right-hand side is always zero.

2. Borel-type localization formula for a conjugacy class

Suppose a compact connected Lie group G acts on a space M. For $g \in G$, define M^g to be the fixed point set of g:

$$M^g = \{x \in M \mid g \cdot x = x\}.$$

If C is a conjugacy class in G, define the fixed point set of the conjugacy class to be

$$M^C = \cup_{g \in C} M^g.$$

Then M^C is a closed subset of M ([3], footnote 1, p. 532).

Lemma 2.1. *For $g, h \in G$, one has $h \cdot (M^g) = M^{hgh^{-1}}$.*

Proof. $x \in M^g$ iff $gx = x$ iff $hgh^{-1}hx = hx$ iff $hx \in M^{hgh^{-1}}$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let C be a conjugacy class in G and $g \in C$. Then M^C is the G-equivariant subset of M generated by M^g.*

Proof. The invariant subset of M generated by M^g is

$$\cup_{h\in G} h(M^g) = \cup_{h\in G} M^{hgh^{-1}} = M^C.$$

□

For $x \in M$, denote by G_x the stabilizer of x:

$$G_x = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *If there is an element $g \in G$ with no fixed points, then for every $x \in M$ the stabilizer G_x does not contain any maximal torus of G; hence, $\operatorname{rk} G_x < \operatorname{rk} G$.*

Proof. Suppose G_x contains a maximal torus T of G. Some conjugate $s^{-1}gs$ is contained in this maximal torus. Hence, $(s^{-1}gs) \cdot x = x$, or $g \cdot sx = sx$. This contradicts the fact that g has no fixed point. □

Lemma 2.4. *If H is a connected closed subgroup of the compact connected Lie group G and the rank of H is less than the rank of G, then $H^*(BH)$ is a torsion $H^*(BG)$-module.*

Proof. Let $r = \operatorname{rk} H$ and S a torus of dimension r in H. If $W_H(S)$ is the Weyl group of S in H, then

$$H^*(BH) = H^*(BS)^{W_H(S)} = \mathbb{Q}[v_1, \ldots, v_r]^{W_H(S)},$$

where $(\ )^{W_H(S)}$ denotes the $W_H(S)$-invariants. By a theorem of Chevalley [9], the invariants of a polynomial algebra under a finite reflection group is itself a polynomial algebra with the same number of generators; hence, there exist algebraically independent elements $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ such that

$$H^*(BH) = \mathbb{Q}[v_1, \ldots, v_r]^{W_H(S)} = \mathbb{Q}[b_1, \ldots, b_r].$$

Similarly, if T is a maximal torus of G and $W_G(T)$ the Weyl group of G in T, then

$$H^*(BG) = H^*(BT)^{W_G(T)} = \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \ldots, c_\ell],$$

where $\ell = \operatorname{rk} G$. Since $r < \ell$, the natural map $H^*(BG) \longrightarrow H^*(BH)$ has a nontrivial kernel. □

Lemma 2.5. *If $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a G-equivariant map and $H_G^*(Y)$ is $H^*(BG)$-torsion, then $H_G^*(X)$ is $H^*(BG)$-torsion.*

Proof. The G-equivariant map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ induces a homomorphism of $H^*(BG)$-algebras

$$f^* : H_G^*(Y) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X).$$

Let 1_X and 1_Y be the identity elements in $H_G^*(X)$ and $H_G^*(Y)$ respectively. Since $H_G^*(Y)$ is torsion, there exists an element $a \in H^*(BG)$ such that $a \cdot 1_Y = 0$. Thus,

$$a \cdot 1_X = af^*(1_Y) = f^*(a \cdot 1_Y) = 0.$$

For any element $z \in H_G^*(X)$,

$$a \cdot z = a \cdot (1_X \cdot z) = (a \cdot 1_X) \cdot z = 0.$$

Hence, $H_G^*(X)$ is $H^*(BG)$-torsion. □

Remark 2.6. If T is a maximal torus in the compact connected Lie group G and $\dim T = \ell$, then

$$H^*(BG) = H^*(BT)^{W_G} = \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_\ell]^{W_G}.$$

Thus, $H^*(BG)$ is an integral domain. Let Q be its field of fractions. For any $H^*(BG)$-module W, we define the localization of W with respect to the zero ideal in $H^*(BG)$ to be

$$\hat{W} := W \otimes_{H^*(BG)} Q.$$

It is easily verified that W is $H^*(BG)$-torsion if and only if $\hat{W} = 0$. For a G-space M, we call $\hat{H}^*_G(M)$ the *localized equivariant cohomology* of M.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group acting on a compact space M. If there is an element $g \in G$ with no fixed point, then the equivariant cohomology $H^*_G(M)$ is $H^*(BG)$-torsion.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 that for every $x \in M$ the equivariant cohomology of the orbit $\mathrm{Orbit}(x) \simeq G/G_x$ is $H^*(BG)$-torsion, since the stabilizer has less than maximal rank and

$$H^*_G(G/G_x) = H^*(EG \times_G (G/G_x)) = H^*(EG/G_x) = H^*(BG_x).$$

By Remark 2.6, the localized equivariant cohomology $\hat{H}^*_G(G/G_x)$ is zero.

We now cover M with G-invariant open tubular neighborhoods U_x of the orbits $\mathrm{Orbit}(x)$. By Koszul's slice theorem ([4], Th. 2.1.1, p. 17) each such neighborhood U_x is a G-equivariant vector bundle over $\mathrm{Orbit}(x)$. In particular, there is a G-equivariant map $U_x \longrightarrow \mathrm{Orbit}(x)$. By Lemma 2.5, $H^*_G(U_x)$ is $H^*(BG)$-torsion.

Since M is compact, it has an open cover consisting of finitely many of the open sets U_x. The proposition now follows from the following lemma. ☐

In the rest of this section, "torsion" will mean $H^*(BG)$-torsion.

Lemma 2.8. *If U and V are G-invariant open subsets of M and $H^*_G(U)$ and $H^*_G(V)$ are torsion, then so is $H^*_G(U \cup V)$.*

Proof. Because the inclusion map $U \cap V \longrightarrow U$ is G-equivariant and $H^*_G(U)$ is torsion, $H^*_G(U \cap V)$ is torsion by Lemma 2.5. Thus, in the localized Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \hat{H}^{q-1}_G(U \cap V) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^q_G(U \cup V) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^q_G(U) \oplus \hat{H}^q_G(V) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^q_G(U \cap V) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

the terms $\hat{H}^*_G(U)$, $\hat{H}^*_G(V)$, $\hat{H}^*_G(U \cap V)$ are zero. It follows that $\hat{H}^*_G(U \cup V)$ is also zero. ☐

Theorem 2.9 (Borel-type localization formula for a conjugacy class). *Let G be a compact connected Lie group acting on a compact space M, and C a conjugacy class in G. Then the inclusion $i : M^C \longrightarrow M$ of the fixed point set of the conjugacy class C induces an isomorphism in localized equivariant cohomology*

$$i^* : \hat{H}^*_G(M) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^*_G(M^C).$$

Proof. Let U and V be G-invariant tubular neighborhoods of M^C such that the closure $\bar{V}$ of V lies in U. Then $\{U, M - \bar{V}\}$ is a G-invariant open cover of M. Moreover, $H^*_G(U) \simeq H^*_G(M^C)$ because U has the G-homotopy type of M^C.

Let g be an element of the conjugacy class C. On the compact G-space $M - V \subset M - M^C$, the element g has no fixed point. By Prop. 2.7, $H^*_G(M - V)$ is torsion. Since the inclusion $M - \bar{V} \longrightarrow M - V$ is G-equivariant, by Lemma 2.5, $H^*_G(M - \bar{V})$ is also torsion. For the same reason, the inclusion $U \cap (M - \bar{V}) \longrightarrow M - \bar{V}$ implies that $H^*_G(U \cap (M - \bar{V}))$ is torsion.

In the localized Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\dots \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^{*-1}(U \cap (M - \bar{V})) \\ \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(M) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(M - \bar{V}) \oplus \hat{H}_G^*(U) &\longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(U \cap (M - \bar{V})) \longrightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

all the terms except $\hat{H}_G^*(M)$ and $\hat{H}_G^*(U)$ are zero. It follows that

$$\hat{H}_G^*(M) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(U)$$

is an isomorphism. □

3. Integration formula

In the case of manifolds, the Borel-type localization theorem (Th. 2.9) implies an integration formula by a purely formal argument ([2], p. 9). We recall briefly the set-up.

Let $i : S \longrightarrow M$ be the inclusion of a G-equivariant submanifold in a compact oriented G-manifold M. Denote by

$$i^* : \hat{H}_G^*(M) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(S) \quad \text{and} \quad i_* : \hat{H}_G^*(S) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(M)$$

the restriction and the push-forward maps in localized equivariant cohomology.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose the normal bundle of S in M is oriented with nonzero equivariant Euler class e. If i^* is injective, then for $a \in \hat{H}_G^*(M)$,*

$$i_* \left(\frac{1}{e} i^* a \right) = a.$$

Proof. It is a standard property that for $b \in \hat{H}_G^*(S)$,

$$i^* i_* b = eb. \tag{1}$$

Set $b = (i^*a)/e$. Then

$$i^* i_* \left(\frac{1}{e} i^* a \right) = i^* a.$$

Since i^* is injective,

$$i_* \left(\frac{1}{e} i^* a \right) = a.$$

□

For a compact connected Lie group G, take $i : M^C \longrightarrow M$ to be the inclusion of the fixed point set of the conjugacy class C and let $\pi : M \longrightarrow \text{pt}$ and $\pi^C : M^C \longrightarrow \text{pt}$ be the constant maps and

$$\pi_* : \hat{H}_G^*(M) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^*(BG), \qquad \pi_*^C : \hat{H}_G^*(M^C) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^*(BG)$$

equivariant integration over M and M^C respectively.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose M^C is smooth with oriented normal bundle ν in a compact oriented manifold M. For $a \in H_G^*(M)$,*

$$\pi_* a = \pi_*^C \left(\frac{1}{e} i^* a \right).$$

Proof. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} M^C \arrow[rr, "i"] \arrow[dr, "\pi^C"'] & & M \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\ & \text{pt} & \end{tikzcd}$$

it follows that $\pi_* i_* = \pi^C_*$. By Lemma 3.1

$$\pi_* a = \pi_* i_* \left(\frac{1}{e} i^* a \right) = \pi^C_* \left(\frac{1}{e} i^* a \right).$$

□

4. Relative localization formulas

Suppose a torus T acts on manifolds M and N, and $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is a T-equivariant map whose fibers are compact oriented manifolds. Let M^T and N^T be the fixed points sets of T on M and N respectively. The map f induces a map $f^T : M^T \longrightarrow N^T$ of the fixed point sets. Let $i_M : M^T \longrightarrow M$ be the inclusion and e_M the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to M^T in M, and similarly for i_N and e_N.

Theorem 4.1 (Relative localization formula for a torus action)**.** *Let M and N be manifolds on which a torus T acts, and $f : M \longrightarrow N$ a T-equivariant map with compact oriented fibers. For $a \in \hat{H}^*_T(M)$,*

$$(f_T)_* a = (i_N^*)^{-1} (f^T)_* \left(\frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* a \right),$$

where the push-forward and restriction maps are in localized equivariant cohomology.

Proof. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} M^T \arrow[r, "i_M"] \arrow[d, "f^T"'] & M \arrow[d, "f"] \\ N^T \arrow[r, "i_N"'] & N \end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

induces a commutative diagram in localized equivariant cohomology

$$\begin{tikzcd} \hat{H}^*_T(M^T) \arrow[r, "i_{M*}"] \arrow[d, "(f^T)_*"'] & \hat{H}^*_T(M) \arrow[d, "(f_T)_*"] \\ \hat{H}^*_T(N^T) \arrow[r, "i_{N*}"'] & \hat{H}^*_T(N). \end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the commutativity of the diagram (3),

$$\begin{aligned} (f_T)_* a &= (f_T)_* i_{M*} \left(\frac{1}{e_M} i_M^* a \right) \\ &= i_{N*} (f^T)_* \left(\frac{1}{e_M} i_M^* a \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} i_N^* (f_T)_* a &= i_N^* i_{N*} (f^T)_* \left(\frac{1}{e_M} i_M^* a \right) \\ &= e_N (f^T)_* \left(\frac{1}{e_M} i_M^* a \right) && \text{(by (1))} \\ &= (f^T)_* \left(\frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* a \right) && \text{(projection formula).} \end{aligned}$$

Since i_N^* is an isomorphism in localized equivariant cohomology (Borel's theorem),

$$(f_T)_* a = (i_N^*)^{-1}(f^T)_* \left(\frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* a \right).$$

$\square$

By exactly the same reasoning, the relative localization formula holds for the fixed point set of a conjugacy class.

Suppose a compact connected Lie group G acts on manifolds M and N, and $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is a G-equivariant map. Let C be a conjugacy class in G, and M^C, N^C the fixed point sets of the conjugacy class. We assume M^C and N^C to be smooth with oriented normal bundle. Denote by $i_M : M^C \longrightarrow M$ the inclusion map and e_M the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of M^C in M, and similarly for i_N and e_N. There is a commutative diagram of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M^C \arrow[r, "i_M"] \arrow[d, "f^C"'] & M \arrow[d, "f"] \\
N^C \arrow[r, "i_N"'] & N.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let

$$(f_G)_* : \hat{H}_G^*(M) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(N), \qquad f_*^C : \hat{H}_G^*(M^C) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_G^*(N^C)$$

be the push-forward maps in localized equivariant cohomology.

Theorem 4.2 (Relative localization formula for a conjugacy class)**.** *Under the hypotheses above, for $a \in H_G^*(M)$,*

$$(f_G)_* a = (i_N^*)^{-1} f_*^C \left(\frac{(f^C)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* a \right).$$

5. Applications to the Gysin homomorphism

Let G be a compact connected Lie group. For a G-manifold M, let $h_M : M \longrightarrow M_G$ be the inclusion of M as a fiber of the bundle $M_G \longrightarrow BG$ and $i_M : M^G \longrightarrow M$ the inclusion of the fixed point set M^G in M. The map h_M induces a homomorphism in cohomology

$$h_M^* : H_G^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M).$$

The inclusion i_M induces a homomorphism in equivariant cohomology

$$i_M^* : H_G^*(M) \longrightarrow H_G^*(M^G).$$

A cohomology class $a \in H^*(M)$ is said to have an *equivariant extension* $\tilde{a} \in H_G^*(M)$ under the G action if under the restriction map $h_M^* : H_G^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M)$, the equivariant class $\tilde{a}$ restricts to a.

Suppose $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is a G-equivariant map of compact oriented G-manifolds. In this section we show that if a class in $H^*(M)$ has an equivariant extension, then its image under the Gysin map $f_* : H^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(N)$ in ordinary cohomology can be computed from the relative localization formulas (Th. 4.1 or Th. 4.2).

We consider first the case of an action by a torus T. Let $f_T : M_T \longrightarrow N_T$ be the induced map of homotopy quotients and $f^T : M^T \longrightarrow N^T$ the induced map of fixed point sets. As before, e_M denotes the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of the fixed point set M^T in M.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be a T-equivariant map of compact oriented T-manifolds. If a cohomology class $a \in H^*(M)$ has an equivariant extension $\tilde{a} \in H_T^*(M)$, then its image under the Gysin map $f_* : H^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(N)$ is,*

1) *in terms of equivariant integration over* M*:*

$$f_* a = h_N^* f_{T*} \tilde{a},$$

2) *in terms of equivariant integration over the fixed point set* M^T*:*

$$f_* a = h_N^* (i_N^*)^{-1} (f^T)_* \left(\frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* \tilde{a} \right).$$

Proof. The inclusions $h_M : M \longrightarrow M_T$ and $h_N : N \longrightarrow N_T$ fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \arrow[r, "h_M"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & M_T \arrow[d, "f_T"] \\ N \arrow[r, "h_N"'] & N_T. \end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram is Cartesian in the sense that M is the inverse image of N under f_T. Hence, the push-pull formula $f_* h_M^* = h_N^* f_{T*}$ holds. Then

$$f_* a = f_* h_M^* \tilde{a} = h_N^* f_{T*} \tilde{a}.$$

2) follows from 1) and the relative localization formula for a torus action (Th. 4.1). □

Using the relative localization formula for a conjugacy class, one obtains analogously a push-forward formula in terms of the fixed point sets of a conjugacy class. Now h_M and i_M are the inclusion maps

$$h_M : M \longrightarrow M_G, \qquad i_M : M^C \longrightarrow M,$$

e_M is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of M^C in M, and $f^C : M^C \longrightarrow N^C$ is the induced map on the fixed point sets of the conjugacy class C.

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $f : M \longrightarrow N$ *be a* G*-equivariant map of compact oriented* G*-manifolds. Assume that the fixed point sets* M^C *and* N^C *are smooth with oriented normal bundle. For a class* $a \in H^*(M)$ *that has an equivariant extension* $\tilde{a} \in H_G^*(M)$*,*

$$f_* a = h_N^* (i_N^*)^{-1} (f^C)_* \left(\frac{(f^C)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* \tilde{a} \right).$$

6. EXAMPLE: THE GYSIN HOMOMORPHISM OF FLAG MANIFOLDS

Let G be a compact connected Lie group with maximal torus T, and H a closed subgroup of G containing T. In [1] Akyildiz and Carrell compute the Gysin homomorphism for the canonical projection $f : G/T \longrightarrow G/H$. In this section we deduce the formula of Akyildiz and Carrell from the relative localization formula in equivariant cohomology.

Let $N_G(T)$ be the normalizer of the torus T in the group G. The Weyl group W_G of T in G is $W_G = N_G(T)/T$. We use the same letter w to denote an element of the Weyl group W_G and a lift of the element to the normalizer $N_G(T)$. The Weyl group acts on G/T by

$$(gT)w = gwT \qquad \text{for } gT \in G/T \text{ and } w \in W_G.$$

This induces an action of W_G on the cohomology ring $H^*(G/T)$.

We may also consider the Weyl group W_H of T in H. By restriction the Weyl group W_H acts on G/T and on $H^*(G/T)$.

To each character γ of T with representation space $\mathbb{C}_\gamma$, one associates a complex line bundle

$$L_\gamma := G \times_T \mathbb{C}_\gamma$$

over G/T. Fix a set $\triangle^+(H)$ of positive roots for T in H, and extend $\triangle^+(H)$ to a set $\triangle^+$ of positive roots for T in G.

Theorem 6.1 ([1])**.** *The Gysin homomorphism $f_* : H^*(G/T) \longrightarrow H^*(G/H)$ is given by, for $a \in H^*(G/T)$,*

$$f_* a = \frac{\sum_{w \in W_H} (-1)^w w \cdot a}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(L_\alpha)}.$$

Remark 6.2. There are two other ways to obtain this formula. First, using representation theory, Brion [8] proves a push-forward formula for flag bundles that includes Th. 6.1 as a special case. Secondly, since $G/T \longrightarrow G/H$ is a fiber bundle with equivariantly formal fibers, the method of [16] using the ABBV localization theorem also applies.

To deduce Th. 6.1 from Prop. 5.1 we need to recall a few facts about the cohomology and equivariant cohomology of G/T and G/H (see [15], [16]).

Cohomology ring of BT. Let $ET \longrightarrow BT$ be the universal principal T-bundle. To each character γ of T, one associates a complex line bundle S_γ over BT:

$$S_\gamma := ET \times_T \mathbb{C}_\gamma.$$

For definiteness, fix a basis $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_\ell$ for the character group $\hat{T}$, where we write the characters additively, and set

$$u_i = c_1(S_{\chi_i}) \in H^2(BT), \qquad z_i = c_1(L_{\chi_i}) \in H^2(G/T).$$

Let $R = \operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T})$ be the symmetric algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\hat{T}$. The map $\gamma \mapsto c_1(S_\gamma)$ induces an isomorphism

$$R = \operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T}) \longrightarrow H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_\ell].$$

The map $\gamma \mapsto c_1(L_\gamma)$ induces an isomorphism

$$R = \operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[z_1, \ldots, z_\ell].$$

The Weyl groups W_G and W_H act on the characters of T and hence on R: for $w \in W_G$ and $\gamma \in \hat{T}$,

$$(w \cdot \gamma)(t) = \gamma(w^{-1} t w).$$

Cohomology rings of flag manifolds. The cohomology rings of G/T and G/H are described in [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(G/T) &\simeq \frac{R}{(R_+^{W_G})} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Q}[z_1, \ldots, z_\ell]}{(R_+^{W_G})}, \\ H^*(G/H) &\simeq \frac{R^{W_H}}{(R_+^{W_G})} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Q}[z_1, \ldots, z_\ell]^{W_H}}{(R_+^{W_G})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(R_+^{W_G})$ denotes the ideal generated by the W_G-invariant homogeneous polynomials of positive degree.

The torus T acts on G/T and G/H by left multiplication. Their equivariant cohomology rings are (see [7], [15])

$$\begin{aligned} H_T^*(G/T) &= \frac{\mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_\ell, y_1, \ldots, y_\ell]}{J}, \\ H_T^*(G/H) &= \frac{\mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_\ell] \otimes (\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_\ell]^{W_H})}{J}, \end{aligned}$$

where J denotes the ideal generated by $q(y) - q(u)$ for $q \in R_+^{W_G}$.

Fixed point sets. The fixed point sets of the T-action on G/T and on G/H are the Weyl group W_G and the coset space W_G/W_H respectively. Since these are finite sets of points,

$$\begin{aligned} H_T^*(W_G) &= \oplus_{w\in W_G} H_T^*(\{w\}) \simeq \oplus_{w\in W_G} R, \\ H_T^*(W_G/W_H) &= \oplus_{wW_H\in W_G/W_H} R. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may view an element of $H_T^*(W_G)$ as a function from W_G to R, and an element of $H_T^*(W_G/W_H)$ as a function from W_G/W_H to R.

Let $h_M : M \longrightarrow M_T$ be the inclusion of M as a fiber in the fiber bundle $M_T \longrightarrow BT$ and $i_M : M^T \longrightarrow M$ the inclusion of the fixed point set M^T in M. Note that i_M is T-equivariant and induces a homomorphism in T-equivariant cohomology, $i_M^* : H_T^*(M) \longrightarrow H_T^*(M^T)$. In order to apply Prop. 5.1, we need to know how to calculate the restriction maps

$$h_M^* : H_T^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \quad \text{and} \quad i_M^* : H_T^*(M) \longrightarrow H_T^*(M^T)$$

as well as the equivariant Euler class e_M of the normal bundle to the fixed point set M^T, for $M = G/T$ and G/H. This is done in [15].

Restriction and equivariant Euler class formulas for G/T. Since $h_M^* : H_T^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M)$ is the restriction to a fiber of the bundle $M_T \longrightarrow BT$, and the bundle $K_{\chi_i} = (L_{\chi_i})_T$ on M_T pulls back to L_{χ_i} on M,

$$h_M^*(u_i) = 0, \qquad h_M^*(y_i) = h_M^*(c_1(K_{\chi_i})) = c_1(L_{\chi_i}) = z_i. \tag{4}$$

Let $i_w : \{w\} \longrightarrow G/T$ be the inclusion of the fixed point $w \in W_G$ and

$$i_w^* : H_T^*(G/T) \longrightarrow H_T^*(\{w\}) = R$$

the induced map in equivariant cohomology. By ([15], Prop. 2), for $p(y) \in H_T^*(G/T)$,

$$i_w^* u_i = u_i, \quad i_w^* p(y) = w \cdot p(u), \quad i_w^* c_1(K_\gamma) = w \cdot c_1(S_\gamma). \tag{5}$$

Thus, the restriction of $p(y)$ to the fixed point set W_G is the function $i_M^* p(y) : W_G \longrightarrow R$ whose value at $w \in W_G$ is

$$(i_M^* p(y))(w) = w \cdot p(u). \tag{6}$$

The equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to the fixed point set W_G assigns to each $w \in W_G$ the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle ν_w at w; thus, it is also a function $e_M : W_G \longrightarrow R$. By ([15], Prop. 6),

$$e_M(w) = e^T(\nu_w) = w\left(\prod_{\alpha\in\triangle^+} c_1(S_\alpha)\right) = (-1)^w \prod_{\alpha\in\triangle^+} c_1(S_\alpha). \tag{7}$$

Restriction and equivariant Euler class formulas for G/H. For the manifold $M = G/H$, the formulas for the restriction maps h_N^* and i_N^* are the same as in (4) and (5), except that now the polynomial $p(y)$ must be W_H-invariant. In particular,

$$h_N^*(u_i) = 0, \quad h_N^* p(y) = p(z), \quad h_N^*(c_1(K_\gamma)) = c_1(L_\gamma), \tag{8}$$

and

$$(i_N^* p(y))(wW_H) = w \cdot p(u). \tag{9}$$

If $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ are characters of T such that $p(c_1(K_{\gamma_1}), \dots, c_1(K_{\gamma_m}))$ is invariant under the Weyl group W_H, then

$$(i_N^* p(c_1(K_{\gamma_1}), \dots, c_1(K_{\gamma_m})))(wW_H) = w \cdot p(c_1(S_{\gamma_1}), \dots, c_1(S_{\gamma_m})). \tag{10}$$

The equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of the fixed point set W_G/W_H is the function $e_N : W_G/W_H \longrightarrow R$ given by

$$e_N(wW_H) = w \cdot \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+ - \triangle^+(H)} c_1(S_\alpha) \right). \tag{11}$$

Proof of Th. 6.1. With $M = G/T$ and $N = G/H$ in Prop. 5.1, let

$$p(z) \in H^*(G/T) = \mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_\ell]/(R_+^{W_G}).$$

It is the image of $p(y) \in H_T^*(G/T)$ under the restriction map $h_M^* : H_T^*(G/T) \longrightarrow H^*(G/T)$. By Prop. 5.1,

$$f_* p(z) = f_* h_M^* p(y) = h_N^* f_{T*} p(y) \tag{12}$$

and

$$f_{T*} p(y) = (i_N^*)^{-1} (f^T)_* \left(\frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} i_M^* p(y) \right).$$

By Eq. (6), (7), and (11), for $w \in W_G$,

$$(i_M^* p(y))(w) = i_w^* p(y) = w \cdot p(u),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} \right)(w) = \frac{(f^T)^*(e_N(wW_H))}{e_M(w)} &= w \cdot \left(\frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+ - \triangle^+(H)} c_1(S_\alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+} c_1(S_\alpha)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{w \cdot \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(S_\alpha) \right)}.\end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation, define temporarily the function $k : W_G \longrightarrow R$ by

$$k(w) = w \cdot \left(\frac{p(u)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(S_\alpha)} \right).$$

Then

$$f_{T*} p(y) = (i_N^*)^{-1} (f^T)_*(k). \tag{13}$$

Now $(f^T)_*(k) \in H_T^*(W_G/W_H)$ is the function: $W_G/W_H \longrightarrow R$ whose value at the point wW_H is obtained by summing over the fiber of $f^T : W_G \longrightarrow W_G/W_H$ above wW_H. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}((f^T)_* k)(wW_H) &= \sum_{wv \in wW_H} wv \cdot \left(\frac{p(u)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(S_\alpha)} \right) \\ &= w \cdot \sum_{v \in W_H} v \cdot \left(\frac{p(u)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(S_\alpha)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

By (10), the inverse image of this expression under i_N^* is

$$(i_N^*)^{-1} (f^T)_* k = \sum_{v \in W_H} v \cdot \left(\frac{p(y)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(K_\alpha)} \right). \tag{14}$$

Finally, combining (12), (13), (14) and (8),

$$f_* p(z) = h_N^* (f_T)_* p(y) = \sum_{v \in W_H} v \cdot \left(\frac{p(z)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \triangle^+(H)} c_1(L_\alpha)} \right).$$

□

REFERENCES

[1] E. Akyildiz and J. B. Carrell, An algebraic formula for the Gysin homomorphism from G/B to G/P, Illinois J. Math. 31 (1987), 312–320.

[2] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, The moment map and equivariant cohomology, Topology 23 (1984), 1–28.

[3] M. Atiyah and G. B. Segal, The index of elliptic operators: II, Annals of Math. 87 (1968), 531–545.

[4] M. Audin, *The Topology of Torus Actions on Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991.

[5] N. Berline and M. Vergne, Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, to. 295 (1982), 539–540.

[6] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts, *Ann. Math.* 57 (1953), 115–207.

[7] M. Brion, Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory, Notes by Alvaro Rittatore, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 514, Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 1–37, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.

[8] M. Brion, The push-forward and Todd class of flag bundles, Parameter Spaces (P. Pragacz, ed.), vol. 36, Banach Center Publications, 1996, pp. 45–50.

[9] C. Chevalley, Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 778–782.

[10] J. J. Duistermaat, Equivariant cohomology and stationary phase, Contemporary Math. 179 (1994), 45–61.

[11] D. Edidin and W. Graham, Localization in equivariant intersection theory and the Bott residue formula, Amer. J. of Math. 120 (1998), 619–636.

[12] G. Ellingsrud and S. Strømme, Bott's formula and enumerative geometry, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 175–193.

[13] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Supersymmetry and Equivariant de Rham Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[14] D. Quillen, Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations, Advances in Math. 7 (1971), 29–56.

[15] L. W. Tu, Characteristic numbers of a homogeneous space, preprint.

[16] L. W. Tu, The Gysin map, equivariant cohomology, and symmetrizing operators, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TUFTS UNIVERSITY, MEDFORD, MA 02155
E-mail address: `andres.pedroza@tufts.edu`

E-mail address: `loring.tu@tufts.edu`